

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/624,456	07/22/2003	Donald A. Kerth	SILA:122	5560
60939	7590 11/13/2006		EXAMINER	
LAW OFFICES OF MAXIMILLIAN R. PETERSON			NGUYEN, LEE	
	P.O. BOX 93005 AUSTIN, TX 78709-3005		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
, .			2618	
		DATE MAILED: 11/13/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/624,456	KERTH ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	LEE NGUYEN	2618				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Description of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron te, cause the application to become ABANDON	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 S	September 2006.					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Thi	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	•	ved in this National Stage				
application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ad				
See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	it of the certified copies not receive	eu.				
Attachment(s)	_					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-5, 12-14, and 18-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Fletcher (US 3,100,282).

Regarding claim 1, Fletcher teaches a converter in a radio-frequency (RF) apparatus, the converter comprising a feedback circuitry (30, 16, 10, 15, see figures 1-2) having a shielded input 32 and a shielded output 34, wherein the shielded input and the shielded output inherently tend to reduce interference in the converter.

Regarding claim 2, Fletcher teaches a first filter 11 coupled to the shielded input 32 of the feedback circuitry (30, 16, 10, 15, figs. 1-2); and a second filter 34 coupled to the shielded output of the feedback circuitry (30, 16, 10, 15, figs. 1-2).

Regarding claim 3, Fletcher teaches a method of reducing interference in a non-linear circuit in a radio-frequency (RF) apparatus, wherein the non-linear circuit 11, 12, 14 (fig. 2) has an input 32 and an output 34, the method comprising: shielding 32 an input of the non-linear circuit 11, 12, 14; and shielding 34 an output of the non-linear circuit 11, 12,

Application/Control Number: 10/624,456

Art Unit: 2618

14 (fig. 2).

Regarding claim 4, Fletcher also teaches comprising filtering 11 an input signal supplied to the input of the non-linear circuit 11, 12, 14 (fig. 2).

Page 3

Regarding claim 5, Fletcher teaches a radio-frequency (RF) apparatus, comprising:

a non-linear signal-processing circuit (30, 16, 10, 15, fig. 2);

a first shield 32 that shields an input of the non-linear signal-processing circuit (30,

16, 10, 15); and

a second shield 34 that shields an output of the non-linear signal-processing circuit (30, 16, 10, 15, fig. 2).

Regarding claim 12, Fletcher also teaches

a first filter 11 that filters an input signal 32 of the non-linear signal-processing circuit (30, 16, 10, 15, fig. 2); and

a second filter 14 that filters an output signal of the non-linear signal-processing circuit (30, 16, 10, 12, fig. 2).

Regarding claim 13, Fletcher also teaches that the first shield comprises a conduit 32, and that the second shield comprises a conduit 34.

Art Unit: 2618

Regarding claim 14, Fletcher further teaches that the first shield comprises a ground plane 35 (fig. 2),

and the second shield comprises a ground plane 35 (fig. 2), see col. 4, lines 50-53.

Regarding claim 18, Fletcher also teaches shielding the input of the non-linear circuit comprises using a conduit 32, and wherein shielding the output of the non-linear circuit comprises using a conduit 34 (fig. 2).

Regarding claim 19, Fletcher further teaches that shielding the input of the nonlinear circuit comprises using a ground plane 35 (fig. 2), and wherein shielding the output of the non-linear circuit comprises using a ground plane 35 (fig. 2), see col. col. 4, lines 50-53.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein

Application/Control Number: 10/624,456 Page 5

Art Unit: 2618

were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 6-11, 15-17 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fletcher et al.

Regarding claims 6-11, Fletcher fail to teach that the non-linear signal-processing circuit comprises switched-capacitor circuitry, or noise-shaping converter circuitry, or analog-to-digital converter circuitry, or digital-to-analog converter circuitry, or multiplier circuitry, or modulator circuitry. However, Fletcher suggests that his invention also applies to other circuit units (col. 4, lines 71-74). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the shielding of Fletch to other circuits, thereby reducing influence of the electrostatic and electromagnetic fields occur at the circuit.

Regarding claims 15-17 and 20, the claims are interpreted and rejected for the same reason as set forth in claims 6-11.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 9/5/2006 have been fully considered but they are not

persuasive.

In the remarks, Applicant contends that:

1) There is no teaching nor suggestion in Fletcher regarding the use of the

disclosed circuit in a radio frequency apparatus; and

2) Fletcher fails to teach a converter or interference in a signal converter or RF

apparatus.

In response, the examiner respectfully disagrees. Considering point one, the

recitation of radio frequency apparatus has not been given patentable weight because

the recitation occurs in the preamble. Regarding point 2, the broad claimed limitation of

converter reads on the transducer of Fletcher. Furthermore, Fletcher also suggest using

shielding to reduce the influence of electrostatic and electromagnetic fields (col. 1, lines

25-27).

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time

policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Application/Control Number: 10/624,456

Art Unit: 2618

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LEE NGUYEN whose telephone number is 571-272-7854. The examiner can normally be reached on FIRST FRIDAY OFF.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, ANDERSON D. MATTHEW can be reached on 571-272-4177. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 7